LATM

** XLIM-CNRS Limoges, France



■ Despite several past and present missions to Mars, very little information is available on its subsurface. One of the scientific objectives of the scientific objectives of the scientific objectives of the scientific objectives. e modestructive way to probe the subsurface and look for potential deep liquid water reservoirs. The LATMOS (ex CETP) is currently developing a ground penetrating radar (GPR) called EISS "Electromagnetic Investigation of the Sub Surface", which is a set enhanced version of the TAPIR "Terrestrial and Planetary Imaging Radar", developed in the frame of the Netlander mission cancelled in 2004. The GPR main objective is to perform sounding of the sub-surface down to kilometric depth. Because the current conditions of pressure (~6.1mbar) and temperature (Tmoy = -63°C) on March prohibit the presence of liquid water on its surface. However, the presence of paleo-hydrological structures suggests that water flowed on Mars as following []]. photography of old river valleys. (Viking, Mars Orbiter, ...).

EISS : Impulse HF Ground Penetrating Radar

EISS "Electromagnetic Investigation of the Sub Surface" is an impulse GPR operating, at HF frequencies (~2-4MHz) in order to perform deep soundings of the subsurface down to kilometric depth, with a wide bandwidth (100kHz-5MHz) for relatively good spatial resolution. The work at HF frequencies, EISS uses a half-wave resistively loaded dipole electrical antenna i.e. two monopoles 35 meters long each to transmit (and also receive in mono-static mode) the signal.

EISS can operate in four modes: impedance measurement, mono and bi-static survey, passive mode. The EISS radar is based on the bi-static capacity of TAPIR. The original idea of the EISS experiment is to take benefit of the unique opportunity offered by the simultaneous presence on the surface of Mars of a fixed Lander (Geophysical Environmental Package) and of the ExoMars rover. EISS will allow to perform bi-static soundings of the subsurface: the long loaded dipole antennas will transmit the electromagnetic waves from the immobile GEP and a much smaller magnetic antenna located on the rover will be used as the receiver. The displacement of the rover over distances of 1 to 2 kilometers allows to perform successive soundings that can be subsequently analyzed to get a 2D description of the subsurface structure along the path of the rover even if only magnetic measurements is performed at the receiver.

<u>Antennas :</u>

- 2 resistively loaded HF monopoles electrical antennas $\gg E_x$
- \blacksquare 1 swiveling magnetic antenna located on the rover \gg H_x H_y H_z
- 2 synchronization VHF antennas for bi-static mode.



Impact of the angle between the two monopoles of the HF antenna (bi-static mode)

In the frame of the ExoMars mission, the exact value of the angle between the two monopoles will not be 180° but will rather be chosen to minimize the contact between the antennas and the lander and solar panels structure, keeping the radiation pattern as omni directional as possible. This is essential given the fact that the rover egress direction will only be chosen once on Mars. The impact of the angle between the monopoles has been studied for all the possible accommodations in the Lander and the best position is $\theta_{ant}=225^{\circ}$.

- Each map shows the amplitude of the three magnetic field components of the direct wave for a distance Lander-Rover ranging from 100 to 500m.
- With aligned monopoles $\theta_{ant}=180^{\circ}$, the map clearly brings to light the fact that in



some directions (aligned with and perpendicular to the antenna direction) one or two of the components are null, while the other values $(\theta_{ant}=225^{\circ})$ don't create such features. The configurations with non aligned monopoles do offer the best coverage of the whole area.

The following figure summarizes the situation. It shows, for each of the studied configurations, the probability to encounter an attenuation compared to the best situation larger than the abscissa value. For example : with one monopole only, for more than 50% of the rover azimuth angles, the attenuation (compared to the best situation) is larger than 16 dB, while it approximately goes down to 4dB for the configurations with two monopoles.



Modelling of EISS GPR's electrical antennas for ExoMars mission

M. Biancheri-Astier* marc.biancheriastier@latmos.ipsl.fr, V. Ciarletti*, A. Reineix**, C. Corbel,* F. Dolon*



Amplitude map of the three magnetic field components of the direct wave and the reflected wave, for a distance Lander-Rover ranging from 100 to 500m. The configuration with two monopoles perfectly aligned =180° is also shown for a reference.

Coupling between the sub-surface and the HF monopoles

To keep the mass and volume of the antenna within reasonable limits, loaded dipole, composed of two identical monopoles is used. The resistive profile of the antenna follows a Wu-King profile which is optimized to transmit the pulse without noticeable distortion and avoid ringing phenomenon. The downside of the design is the low efficiency of such an antenna (only a few percents) because of the power that is dissipated into the resistors. The resistive profile of each monopole must be chosen in order: **D** to ensure that the current intensity at the end of the monopole is null over the whole bandwidth : it guarantees a progressive wave travelling without distortion along the antenna

with no reflection at the end.

D to obtain for antenna impedance as flat as possible over the whole frequency bandwidth : antenna needs to be matched to the electronics impedance to optimize the signal transmission and optimize the instrument efficiency. **Profile 2 :** Profile 3 : Profile 1 :

optimized for a sub-surface $\varepsilon_{rs} = 4$ optimized for a sub-surface $\varepsilon_{rs} = 7$ optimized for the vacuum The following results will focus on the performances of the antenna (decrease of the current along The exact characteristics of the Martian subsurface at the landing site are not a priori known value 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 \square profile 1 (Z_e=1) : optimized for the vacuum \Box profile 2 (Z_e=2.5) : optimized for a sub-surface with a relative permittivity of $\varepsilon_{rs}=4$ \Box profile 3 (Z_e=4) : optimized for a sub-surface with a relative permittivity of $\varepsilon_{rs}=7$ 150 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 6 Frequence (12) x 11⁴ If the antenna is deployed on a surface having a higher permittivity than the one expected, then the $\varepsilon_{sol}=3$ $\varepsilon_{sol}=4$ $\varepsilon_{sol}=6.2$ $\varepsilon_{sol}=9$ _____ε__=1 Normalized current along the antenna I(x)/I(0) and antenna impedance over the whole frequency bandwidth, when deployed on a non conductive layer having a relative permittivity ε_{rs} , $\sigma=0$, μ_0 for the 3

the antenna and measured impedance) obtained when the antenna is deployed on the surface thus the interface between the two media: vaccum and homogeneous sub-surfaces with different relative permittivity values. The antenna behaves as if it were surrounded by a medium having the following electrical properties equal to the arithmetic average. around but a relative permittivity value ε_{rs} around 4 seems realistic. To obtain the best performances, the resistive profile should be optimized according to the geolectrical properties of the sub-surface ε_{rs} (relative permittivity) et σ_s (conductivity). Three different resistive profiles will be considered: **Decrease of the current along the antenna:** decrease will be much faster (leading to a not optimal use of the antenna length). If the antenna is deployed on a surface having a lower permittivity than the one expected, then the decrease will be too slow; potentially leading to reflection of the signal at the antenna's extremity and eventually to

distortion of the pulse. The choice was be restricted to profile 2 and 3. studied profiles (FDTD simulations)

Antenna impedance over the whole frequency bandwidth :

Simulations run for different permittivity values on the sub-surface characteristics show that there is a coupling between the antenna and the sub-surface top layer and that it has have an impact on the antenna effective impedance. The sub-surface impedance is a decreasing function of its own permittivity er. Simulations show that the real parts of the measured impedance is not constant over the whole frequency range and that the obtained variations with frequency depend on the pair sub-surface permittivity value – resistive profile. The best matching can be obtained for an impedance as flat as possible over the whole band width: profile 2.

Retrieval of the top layer permittivity value:

Simulations must be done for the selected antenna resistive profile for a variety of realistic permittivity and conductivity values and will be compared to the measured. This method makes possible the retrieval of the top layer permittivity value and in a less accurate way of the top layer conductivity value. This method was tested and validated during fields tests in Egypt and in Antarctic (LEGALL 2007). The subsurface survey requires knowledge of the studied sub-surface layers to convert the measured propagation delay into distance. Access to electrical characteristics of ground without return samples and in situ analysis is unusual in space missions and aroused great interest.

3D location of the reflecting points (bi-static mode)

This part is mainly focus on the bi-static mode that greatly improves the 3D representation of subsurface structure and on the associated instrumental requirements such as the perfect synchronization of the two part of k_r the instrument. A method to retrieve the direction of arrival for each detected echo will be presented that allows a more accurate sub-surface mapping. Only the three magnetic field components are required to implement it, which makes the EISS configuration particularly interesting. This method is based on the orthogonality between the propagation vector and the polarization plane for each detected echo at the receiver location. The method has been tested and validated for the bi-static configuration on simulated data for a simple configuration: two homogeneous layers separated by one horizontal interface.

• The direction of arrival is characterized by two angles : the angle θ^* measured in the vertical plane above the surface level and the angle φ . measured in the horizontal plane. The φ value retrieval does not require the permittivity value. But because of the refraction at the surface, the measured angle θ^* is different from the angle | value θ in the sub-surface. The permittivity of the top layer is essential to be able to retrieve the θ value.

The HF antennas resistive profile must be chosen to optimize the transmission of a non distorted signal into the sub-surface. Given the range of expected permittivity values on the landing site, we are able to select a profile than will ensure good performances of the coupling between the sub-surface and the HF monopoles deployed on it, EISS will be able to provide an estimate of the permittivity of the sub-surface which will help characterizing the top layer and at the same time will allows to translate the measured propagation delays in distance. The measurements of the three components of the rover location will provide information on the reflecting structures 3D location, discard the echoes due to subsurface clutter and enable a mapping of the rover path (in study). Experimental validation is planned to validate on experimental data acquired on well documented areas the theoretical results.









